

1 STEVEN-WILLIAM: SUTCLIFFE  
02837-049  
2 Metropolitan Detention Center  
PO Box 1500  
3 Los Angeles, California 90053-1500  
In sui juris

RECEIVED  
BUT NOT FILED  
NOV - 7 2003  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
WESTERN DIVISION  
BY [Signature] DEPUTY

FILED  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NOV - 7 2003  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
BY [Signature] DEPUTY

4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8  
9  
10  
11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
12 Plaintiff,  
13 v.  
14 STEVEN W. SUTCLIFFE  
15 Defendants.  
16

) Case No. CR 02-350-AHM  
)  
)  
) MOTION TO DISMISS COURTS 1-4 ON  
) THE GROUNDS OF SELECTIVE  
) PROSECUTION; MEMORANDUM OF  
) POINTS AND AUTHORITIES;  
) DECLARATION OF STEVEN W.  
) SUTCLIFFE  
)  
) DATE  
) TIME  
) PLACE

17  
18  
19  
20 The accused, Steven-William: Sutcliffe, proceeding Sui  
21 Juris, does hereby move this court for a hearing on the motion by  
22 the accused and for an order dismissing Courts 1 - 4 on the  
23 grounds of selective prosecution.

24 As this Court will undoubtedly recall, a previous motion was  
25 brought for the same purpose which this Court denied; this Motion  
26 adds additional evidence of selective prosecution and defendant

27  
NOV 12 2003  
MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTS 1 - 4 ON THE GROUNDS  
OF SELECTIVE PROSECUTION  
[Signature]

283

ORIGINAL

1 incorporates his prior motion and the evidence related thereto  
2 into this instant motion.

3 This Motion is based on this Notice of Motion, the attached  
4 Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Declaration of Steven W.  
5 Sutcliffe, on the documents contained in the Court's file, and on  
6 such other and further evidence as may be adduced at the time of  
7 the hearing hereof.

8 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

9 STATEMENT OF FACTS

10 An indictment as sought and obtained by the United States of  
11 America charging the accused with four (4) counts of violating 18  
12 USC 875(c). This section reads:

13 *"Whoever transmits in interstate or foreign commerce*  
14 *any communication containing any threat to kidnap any*  
15 *person or any threat to injure the person of another,*  
16 *shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more*  
17 *than five years, or both."*

19 The accused has previously presented to this court evidence  
20 that the accused's employer, Global Crossing, from whom the  
21 alleged victims pertaining to counts 1 - 4 were employees or  
22 agents thereof, had, itself, sent a "threatening" email which was  
23 disregarded by the investigating agencies and the United States.

24 This motion presents additional evidence of threats known to  
25 the investigating agencies including, but not limited to, the  
26 FBI, as well as the United States, which continue to fail and  
27

1 refuse to prosecute under the same standard as applied to the  
2 accused. Thus, under this guise of selective prosecution, the  
3 accused seeks to dismiss counts 1 - 4, as more fully set forth  
4 below.

5 This motion is filed sui juris as the accused is operating  
6 under the position that he has no counsel to which Local Rule  
7 83.2.9.1 would apply.

8  
9 LAW AND AUTHORITY

10 GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS MAY NOT ENFORCE  
11 CRIMINAL STATUTES IN A DISCRIMINATORY  
12 OR SELECTIVE FASHION

13  
14 The law is quite clear; the government may not use  
15 discriminatory or selective tactics in applying criminal laws to  
16 potential defendants. So stated the 9th Circuit court (again) in  
17 *U.S. v. Steele*, 461 F.2d 1148 (9th Cir. 1972).

18 A defendant moving for a dismissal of the charge(s) on the  
19 grounds of selective prosecution must make a prima facie showing  
20 that (1) other persons similarly situated are not being  
21 prosecuted; and (2) that the prosecution is based on an  
22 impermissible motive, such as invidious discrimination or the  
23 violation of a constitution right, such as freedom of speech or  
24 press. *U.S. v. Armstrong* 517 U.S. 456, 465 (1996); see also *U.S.*  
25 *v. Redondo Lemos* 955 F.2d 1296, 1300-01 (9th Cir. 1992).

1 In the instant matter, the accused has previously presented  
2 evidence of a direct threat made by an employee of Global  
3 Crossing, the employer or principal of the alleged victims in  
4 this matter (as pertaining to Counts 1 - 4). That motion was  
5 denied.

6 Since that previous motion, the United States has produced  
7 discovery that contained additional threats made to or against  
8 the accused for which neither the investigating agency, FBI, nor  
9 the prosecuting agency, The United States, has filed similar  
10 charges. [See Exhibits attached hereto.]

11 Thus, the first prong of "similarity" has been met.

12 The fact that the threats contained in the exhibits came  
13 from law enforcement personnel or support personnel provides an  
14 impermissible motive to not seek similar charges. Furthermore,  
15 the apply the standard against the accused while ignoring it  
16 against others similarly situated is to violate the accused's  
17 constitutional freedom of speech while permitting others to enjoy  
18 freedom from prosecution for the same conduct.

19 This form of "singling" out the accused warrants a  
20 dismissal. See *U.S. v. Salazar*, C.A. Colo. 1983, 720 F.2d 1482,  
21 cert. den. 469 U.S. 110, 83 L.Ed.2d 783, 105 S.Ct. 789.

22 The Exhibits were contained in the materials relevant to the  
23 accused's website, "killercop.com" which the United States, over  
24 the accused's objections, to include as evidence to be submitted  
25 has been permitted to introduce at time of trial. The exhibits  
26 consist of emails sent to the accused. Their content clearly is  
27

1 "threatening" under the same section applied in Counts 1 - 4 and  
2 this discovery was provided by the United States and was  
3 "reviewed" by the FBI (investigating agency in the instant case)  
4 prior to the arrest of the accused.

5 The emails contain such statements as :

6 Exhibit "3" - "...you may be armed but so am I and I'm an  
7 expert. I know things about you that would cause you to piss your  
8 pants! Watch your backs because I'm watching."

9 Exhibit "4" - "...I'll personally do a victory dance around  
10 your dead body. FUCK YOU."

11 Exhibit "5" - This email is from "Death from Above" and  
12 includes the following: "...you might just find me and a few of  
13 my friends at your door....Then your worthless self will be  
14 dead...".

15 Exhibit "6" - "...Be assured we know who you are & are  
16 crawling up your ass with a microscope. See you soon."

17 Exhibit "7: - "...Everyday we got to bust some niggers. Man,  
18 a .300 Win Mag opens there [sic] head up like a watermelon  
19 dropped on the sidewalk. Ah, but no long before we get to do the  
20 same thing here."

21 Exhibit "8" - "i [sic] don't eat donuts and dont beat people  
22 just for fun...however with you i can make a reason to change  
23 this...i have my handsand i wouldn't even use my gun...i just  
24 beat the shit out of you with my hands...".

25 In addition, I have provided the court with a document  
26 contained in the FBI's "Follow-up Investigation" report where the  
27 FBI, referring to the killercop.com website, states: "Sutcliffe's

1 website has been shut down several times but he eventually gets  
2 the site back on line. His actions and website has been discussed  
3 with the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office, the Los  
4 Angeles City Attorney's Office and the FBI regarding any  
5 violations o flaw. Up until recently, no violations of the law  
6 had been found." {Exhibit "1"0

7 I have also attached an article from the New York Times that  
8 is relevant, particularly the comments on the last page of the  
9 exhibit.

10 CONCLUSION

11 This court has previously ignored the similarity of threat  
12 made to the accused by an employee or agent of the employer or  
13 principal of the alleged victims. Defendant has now produced  
14 additional evidence of actual threats that were (1) known to the  
15 investigating agency (FBI) prior to the accused's arrest in this  
16 matter; and (2) which were, and are, known by the prosecuting  
17 agency, The United States, since this instant matter was filed.  
18 Because these additional threats came from law enforcement should  
19 not constitute a valid reason to not apply the law equally and  
20 similarly as it was, and is, being applied to me.

21 The failure to apply the law equally under similarly  
22 situated circumstances is clearly selective prosecution under the  
23 "two prong" test set forth by the Supreme court and as set forth  
24 herein.

25 Therefore, for all of the above reasons, and including the  
26 prior similar threat which this Court in the exercise of its  
27 discretion, chose to ignore, Counts 1 - 4 must be dismissed.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

DATED: 11/4/03

Respectfully submitted,

*Steven Sutchiff*  
STEVEN-WILLIAM: SUTCLIFFE

DECLARATION OF STEVEN-WILLIAM: SUTCLIFFE

I, STEVEN-WILLIAM: SUTCLIFFE declare as follows:

1. I am an inmate presently incarcerated at the Metropolitan Detention Center in Los Angeles California. I have been incarcerated since March, 2002, for mere words uttered and published on a website available to any member of the public who was willing to accept certain conditions and terms before viewing any information thereon.

2. In testimony in open court and as transcribed by the official report of same, the FBI agent Cugno, testified that he had reviewed my previous website, "killercop.com" during his investigation into the instant matter. He produced a follow-up report in which he acknowledges this as well as acknowledging that the Los Angeles Police Department, Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office, the Los Angeles City Attorney's Office as well as his own agency, the FBI, knew about this site and that no violations of law been found. All of this "knowledge" was ascertain prior to my arrest and subsequent lengthy incarceration.

3. I have produced a true and accurate copy of the portion of Agent Cugno's follow-up investigation report produced in discovery and Bates stamped as 00173.

4. I have also reproduced for the Court a copy of a New York Times article I had previously provided to the prosecutor in this case. I believe the article is relevant to the issues raised in this selective prosecution motion and have attached a true and correct copy of same hereto as Exhibit "2".

1           5.    I have attached hereto true and correct copies of  
2 actual threats sent to me as Exhibits "3 - 8".

3           6.    Since I have no way to contact the clerk or otherwise  
4 obtain a hearing date to place on this document, due both to my  
5 indigent status and due the fact I have been continuously  
6 incarcerated since March of 2002, I have left this area blank. In  
7 the event the court determines to conduct a hearing, I would  
8 request that the court provide the date and time and send notice  
9 to all parties.

10           I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the  
11 United States of America that this declaration is true and  
12 correct and was executed on the date subscribed below in Los  
13 Angeles, California.

14  
15 DATED: 11/4/03

*Steven Suttcliffe*  
\_\_\_\_\_

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, LESLIE S. McAFEE, declare:

1. I am an adult over the age of 21 years. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California and admitted to all of the federal circuit courts in the State of California.

2. I was asked by my client, Mr. Sutcliffe, whom I represent in state-court matters as well as several pending federal habeas corpus matters related to the criminal case at issue, to serve the document to which this is attached, as follows:

Elena J. Duarte, Esq.  
Asst. United States Attorney  
1500 United States Courthouse  
312 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Hon. A. Howard Matz, Judge  
Courtroom 14  
312 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

I hand-delivered this document to the above on November 7, 2003, and filed the original with the clerk's office on the same date.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the forgoing is true and correct and that this declaration of mailing was executed on November 7, at Burbank, California.



---

In July of 1998, Sutcliffe initiated a lawsuit against Officers Ippolito and Utley for violation of his rights, relating to his most recent arrest. During the deposition phase of this lawsuit, Sutcliffe removed a pair of handcuffs from his pants pocket and attempted to physically arrest Officer Utley. This civil case was recently dismissed.

Shortly after the filing of his lawsuit in 1998, Sutcliffe developed a website titled "KillerCop, Com". Sutcliffe has had this site running, off and on since 1998. Sutcliffe primarily targets Officers Ippolito and Utley in his website. He solicits the lawful killing of the officers, as well as any other law enforcement officers. The website offers monetary rewards for the killing of police officers, and includes bonuses relating to the method of killing. Sutcliffe has recently posted pictures of the LAPD's Medal of Valor recipients as well as the LAPD's Protective League board members, and has stated that these individuals will perform lewd and lascivious sex acts.

Robbery-Homicide Division detectives have been involved in Officer Threat investigations involving Sutcliffe since the inception of Sutcliffe's website. Sutcliffe's website has been shut down several times but he eventually gets the site back on line. His actions and his website has been discussed with the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office, Los Angeles City Attorney's Office, and the FBI regarding any violations of the law. Up until recently, no violations of the law had been found.

On July 7, 2001, a segment of the "killercop" web site refers to Officers Utley and Ippolito with the following statement:

WE WILL PAY \$3500.00 CASH, NO QUESTIONS ASKED, FOR THE HOME LOCATION OF OFFICER TERRI UTLEY OF THE L.A.P.D. AND/OR \$1000.27 FOR ANY RECENT PICTURE OF HER PARTNER OFFICER LISA IPPOLITO.

If Officer Ippolito's name is clicked onto, the following caption from rapper Ice-T's song "Cop Killer" is displayed

I GOT MY BLACK SHIRT ON  
 I GIT MY BLACK GLOVES ON  
 I GOT MY SKI MASK ON  
 THIS SHIT'S BEEN TOO LONG  
 I GOT MY TWELVE GAUGE SAWED OFF  
 I GOT MY HEADLIGHTS TURNED OFF  
 I'M 'BOUT TO BUST SOME SHOTS OFF  
 I'M 'BOUT TO DUST SOME COPS OFF

I GOT MY BRAIN ON HYPE  
 TONIGHT'LL BE YOUR NIGHT  
 I GOT THIS LONG-ASSED KNIFE  
 AND YOUR LOOKS JUST RIGHT

The caption appears to infer that Sutcliffe is or will be soliciting someone to stalk and kill Officer Ippolito. Both Officers Utley and Ippolito have been aware of this website since its inception. Sutcliffe's suggestive postings, along with his attempts to obtain personal information about Officers Utley and Ippolito have caused great concern for their safety. Furthermore, both officers have been concerned for the safety of their respective roommates and their young children.

On July 31, 2001, Officer Ippolito's roommate, Marsha Anzaldua, found the initials "KC" written on the front door of their residence. Sutcliffe uses these initials when responding to chat rooms and e-mails. This specific incident has caused additional safety concerns for Officer Ippolito, Anzaldua, and their young daughter. A security detail was deployed at Officer Ippolito's residence for several days.

July 12, 2003

## Dispute Simmers Over Web Site Posting Personal Data on Police

By ADAM LIPTAK

**W**illiam Sheehan does not like the police. He expresses his views about what he calls police corruption in Washington State on his Web site, where he also posts lists of police officers' addresses, home phone numbers and Social Security numbers.

State officials say those postings expose officers and their families to danger and invite identity theft. But neither litigation nor legislation has stopped Mr. Sheehan, who promises to expand his site to include every police and corrections officer in the state by the end of the year.

Mr. Sheehan says he obtains the information lawfully, from voter registration, property, motor vehicle and other official records. But his provocative use of personal data raises questions about how the law should address the dissemination of accurate, publicly available information that is selected and made accessible in a way that may facilitate the invasion of privacy, computer crime, even violence.

Larry Erickson, executive director of the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs, says the organization's members are disturbed by Mr. Sheehan's site.

"Police officers go out at night," Mr. Erickson said, "they make people mad, and they leave their families behind."

The law generally draws no distinction between information that is nominally public but hard to obtain and information that can be fetched with an Internet search engine and a few keystrokes. The dispute over Mr. Sheehan's site is similar to a debate that has been heatedly taken up around the nation, about whether court records that are public in paper form should be freely available on the Internet.

In 1989, in a case not involving computer technology, the Supreme Court did allow the government to refuse journalists' Freedom of Information Act request for paper copies of information it had compiled from arrest and conviction records available in scattered public files. The court cited the "practical obscurity" of the original records.

But once accurate information is in private hands like Mr. Sheehan's, the courts have been extremely

reluctant to interfere with its dissemination.

Mr. Sheehan, a 41-year-old computer engineer in Mill Creek, Wash., near Seattle, says his postings hold the police accountable, by facilitating picketing, the serving of legal papers and research into officers' criminal histories. His site collects news articles and court papers about what he describes as inadequate and insincere police investigations, and about police officers who have themselves run afoul of the law.

His low opinion of the police has its roots, Mr. Sheehan says, in a 1998 dispute with the Police Department of Kirkland, Wash., over whether he lied in providing an alibi for a friend charged with domestic violence. Mr. Sheehan was found guilty of making a false statement and harassing a police officer and was sentenced to six months in jail, but served no time: the convictions were overturned.

He started his Web site in the spring of 2001. There are other sites focused on accusations of police abuse, he said, "but they stop short of listing addresses."

Yet if his site goes farther than others, Mr. Sheehan says, still it is not too far. "There is not a single incident," he said, "where a police officer has been harassed as a result of police-officer information being on the Internet."

Last year, in response to a complaint by the Kirkland police about Mr. Sheehan's site, the Washington Legislature enacted a law prohibiting the dissemination of the home addresses, phone numbers, birth dates and Social Security numbers of law enforcement, corrections and court personnel if it was meant "to harm or intimidate."

As a result, Mr. Sheehan, who had taken delight in bringing his project to the attention of local police departments, removed those pieces of information from his site. But he put them back in May, when a federal judge, deciding on a challenge brought by Mr. Sheehan himself, struck down the law as unconstitutional.

The ruling, by John C. Coughenour, chief judge of the Federal District Court in Seattle, said Mr. Sheehan's site was "analytically indistinguishable from a newspaper."

"There is cause for concern," Judge Coughenour wrote, "when the Legislature enacts a statute proscribing a type of political speech in a concerted effort to silence particular speakers."

The state government, he continued, "boldly asserts the broad right to outlaw any speech — whether it be anti-Semitic, anti-choice, radical religious, or critical of police — so long as a jury of one's peers concludes that the speaker subjectively intends to intimidate others with that speech."

Bruce E. H. Johnson, a Seattle lawyer specializing in First Amendment issues, said Judge Coughenour was correct in striking down the statute because it treated identical publicly available information differently depending on the authorities' perception of the intent of the person who disseminated it.

"It forces local prosecutors to become thought police," Mr. Johnson said.

Elena Garella, Mr. Sheehan's lawyer, said there was one principle at the heart of the case.

"Once the cat is out of the bag," she said, "the government has no business censoring information or

punishing people who disseminate it."

Fred Olson, a spokesman for the state attorney general, Christine O. Gregoire, said the state would not appeal Judge Coughenour's decision.

"Our attorneys reviewed the decision and the case law," Mr. Olson said, "and they just felt there was very, very little likelihood that we would prevail on appeal. Our resources are much better used to find a legislative solution."

But Bill Finkbeiner, a state senator who was the main sponsor of the law that was struck down, said the judge's opinion left little room for a legislative repair. He said he was frustrated.

"This isn't just bad for police officers and corrections employees," Mr. Finkbeiner said. "It really doesn't bode well for anybody. Access to personal information changes when that information is put in electronic form."

Mr. Sheehan says one sort of data he has posted has given him pause.

"I'll be honest and say I do have a quandary over the Social Security numbers," he said. "I'm going to publish them because that's how I got the rest of my information, and I want to let people verify my data. But our state government shouldn't be releasing that data."

Lt. Rex Caldwell, a spokesman for the Police Department in Kirkland, said his colleagues there were resigned to Mr. Sheehan's site, and added that much of the information posted on it was out of date.

When the matter first came up, "people were extremely unhappy about it," Lieutenant Caldwell said. "Now it's more of an annoyance than anything else. The official line from the chief is that we're still concerned. At the same time, everyone's greatest fear, of people using this to track them down, has not materialized."

Nor is there any indication that the site has led to identity theft, he said.

Brightening, Lieutenant Caldwell said some officers even welcomed the posting of their home addresses, if that encouraged Mr. Sheehan to visit.

"If he wants to drop by the house," Lieutenant Caldwell said, "the police officers would be more than happy to welcome him. We're all armed and trained."

sutcliffe

**From:** j. [Macivr@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 17, 1998 11:11 PM  
**To:** sutcliffe@killercop.com  
**Subject:** Bullshit!!!!!!!!!!!!

**Message Flag:** Follow up

**Flag Status:** Flagged

I chose long ago not to be a commissioned police officer because it severely limited my rights as a citizen. Instead I decided to be a Bail Enforcement Officer. With a qualified, certified warrant I had the right to cross state lines and kick your fucking door in and arrest you for the shitty, lousy acts you in your selfishness perpetrated on the rest of us law abiding citizens. No, not all cops are good cops but they are human beings with failings just like the rest of us. My, good buddy and partner was shot by a Hells Angel in eastern Washington. He was a meth cook and his only job on his resume in all his screwed up 49 years of life was 2 years with the US Army. Figure that out scumbag! How many of your children has he screwed up with drugs? Why don't you expend the energy screwing around with cops and go after these bastards? The ones who are selling dope to our kids? Too easy? It figures. It's easier to take it out on the guys in uniform because you are so God Damned nearsighted to see the real threat. Get a real life asshole. Look at it from my side of the mirror. Go ahead and quote all the religious bullshit that reads to your advantage. Got a better idea....read the whole book! Whether it be the old testament, the Koran, the Talmud. You only read so far and say "That's it I'm justified in what I believe in" Don't need to read any further! You are simple, blind and ignorant! I fought for this country in a war I didn't believe in. I wasn't fighting for the country but the principle of our freedom and the precious lives of my comrades in arms. That's patriotism!!!! The lives and freedom of our children and friends! Freedom from drugs that are killing our future!

I could go on and on but it's like teaching a pig to fly....pisses the pig off and frustrates the hell out of me. You are like the pig. Nothing but alot of grease and rolling in the mud, blind to real life!

You got my name, so there's no reason to sign it!

Just remember, you may be armed but so am I and I'm an expert. I know things about you that would cause you to piss your pants! Watch your backs because I'm watching!

sutcliffe

From: Aaron Mall [PITT3695@webtv.net]  
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 1998 12:16 AM  
To: sutcliffe@killercop.com  
Subject: cop

Message Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Flagged

You sorry sniveling pussy. Wah, the cops are picking on me. If you brought your sorry pussy ass to my jurisdiction I would plant so many kicks to your head you would have brain damage to the little brain you have. Sad asses like you sit around and complain and have no idea of the job police officers do. You probably rewind tapes at a video store and are pissed at the world because your too stupid to have a regular job like everyone else. I cant speak for the LAPD, but if you have the balls that you act like you do come to my city (Columbus,OH) and pull a gun out. I gaurentee you'll have 300 bullet holes in your worthless pussy ass and I'll personally do a victory dance around your dead body. FUCK YOU.

---



From - Sat Apr 11 12:49:26 1998

Page 1 of 1

From - Sat Apr 11 12:49:26 1998

Return-Path: <SergtRock@aol.com>

Received: from imo15.mx.aol.com ([198.81.17.37]) by diane.netcbc.com

(post.office MTA v2.0 0813 ID# 0-14094) with ESMTP id AAA242

for <killercop@killercop.com>; Sat, 11 Apr 1998 12:34:23 -0700

Received: from SergtRock@aol.com

by imo15.mx.aol.com (IMOV13.ems) id XSHUa02805

for <killercop@killercop.com>; Fri, 10 Apr 1998 18:59:06 -0500 (EDT)

From: SergtRock <SergtRock@aol.com>

Message-ID: <6fcc97d.352ea43c@aol.com>

Date: Fri, 10 Apr 1998 18:59:06 EDT

To: killercop@killercop.com

Mime-Version: 1.0

Subject: You Are A Joke

Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: AOL 4.0 for Windows 95 sub 131

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001

Content-Length: 291

Can't believe you let thos big bad female cops haul you in. <snicker> If you were half the man you think you are, you would have handled the situation then & there. You are a first class coward.

Be assured we know who you are & are crawling up your ass with a microscope.

See you soon.

From - Sat Apr 11 19:57:39 1998

Return-Path: <jdarr@erols.com>

Received: from smtp3.erols.com ([207.172.3.236]) by diane.netcbc.com

(post.office MTA v2.0 0813 ID# 0-14094) with ESMTP id AAA273

for <killercop@killercop.com>; Sat, 11 Apr 1998 16:23:31 -0700

Message-ID: <352EC6BE.1DA3@erols.com>

Date: Fri, 10 Apr 1998 21:26:22 -0400

**From: John Darr <jdarr@erols.com>**

Reply-To: jdarr@erols.com

To: killercop@killercop.com

I still don't understand why people whine when the supreme race does the right thing and puts niggers in their place. Somalia was probably the best time of my life. Everyday we got to bust some niggers. Man, a .300 Win Mag opens there head up like a watermelon dropped on the sidewalk. Ah, but not long before we get to do the same thing here. But shoot straight nigger (yeah right) cause we don't miss.

Hope ur enjoying ur collard greens and fried chicken,

DA BOSS MAN

Killercop: He's a great candidate for the LAPD!

Want to read some more of my hate mail from cops?

From - Tue Mar 31 21:12:58 1998

Return-Path: <C2O2P3@aol.com>

Received: from imo13.mx.aol.com ([198.81.17.35]) by diane.netcbc.com

(post.office MTA v2.0 0813 ID# 0-14094) with ESMTP id AAA363

for <killercop@killercop.com>; Tue, 31 Mar 1998 20:16:40 -0800

Received: from C2O2P3@aol.com

by imo13.mx.aol.com (IMOV13.ems) id XSFTa07817

for <killercop@killercop.com>; Tue, 31 Mar 1998 23:18:57 -0500 (EST)

From: C2O2P3 <C2O2P3@aol.com>

Message-ID: <10bfeb65.3521c033@aol.com>

Date: Tue, 31 Mar 1998 23:18:57 EST

To: killercop@killercop.com

Mime-Version: 1.0

Subject: up your ass

Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: AOL 3.0 for Windows 95 sub 18

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001

Content-Length: 671

you are were you deserve to be.....i wish you come to my city you piece of

garbage.....i dont eat donuts and dont beat people just for fun ....

however with you i can make a reason to change this.....if you were innocent

you would be out .....if there was no evidence you would be out .....

you have a 12 gauge .....i have my handsand i wouldn't even use my gun ...i

just beat the shit out of you with my hands.....later you piece of

shit.....i hope some cops kid fucks your mother then your old lady gives them